

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,483	07/13/2005	Stefan Beichl	038741.55710US	5535	
23911 7590 04/06/2007 CROWELL & MORING LLP			EXAMINER		
	JAL PROPERTY GROU	JP	LEE, GII	LEE, GILBERT Y	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	3673		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	NTHS	04/06/2007	DADED		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/518,483	BEICHL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gilbert Y. Lee	3673			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	·					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed 12/22/06 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 4, "a first component" should be changed to --the first component--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2 a --,-- should be inserted after "seat". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the means" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purposes of this examination, the examiner is interpreting the claim to be claiming --the means for axial displacement and adjustment--.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,483

Art Unit: 3673

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayr et al. (US Patent No. 6,120,622).

Regarding claim 1, the Mayr et al. reference discloses a sealing arrangement (Fig. 6) for sealing a gap (e.g. 32) between two components (10 and 21) which can move rotationally with respect tone another about a common axis of rotation (30), having a brush seal (e.g. 2) which is arranged fixedly in the first component (Fig. 6) and interacts with a sealing surface (e.g. 31) of the second component, wherein the sealing surface is a surface of a shaft end of the second component which is conical in form (Fig. 6), with the first component being axially displaceable and adjustable with respect to the second component (Col. 7, Lines 40-50), the first component being disposed axially adjacent to the second component shaft end (Fig. 6), and means for axial displacement and adjustment (e.g. 26) being provided between the first component and a casing (e.g. 23) surrounding the first component (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 3, the Mayr et al. reference, as best understood, discloses the means for axial displacement and adjustment comprising a sliding seat (27), an

Application/Control Number: 10/518,483

Art Unit: 3673

adjustment nut (26) which is fitted into the casing and a displacement screw thread (e.g. threads in first component 21 which hold screws 26) cut into the first component.

Regarding claim 4, the Mayr et al. reference discloses the axial displacement being controlled by at least one threaded connection (e.g. 26 and threads in element 21 holding screws 26) between one of the components (e.g. 21) and a casing (e.g. 23) which receives said component.

Regarding claim 5, the Mayr et al. reference discloses the axial displacement being controlled by a mechanical adjuster (e.g. 26).

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gilbert Y. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on (571)272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,483 Page 6

Art Unit: 3673

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GL March 21, 2007

Patricia Engle

Supervisory Examiner Tech. Center 3600